Initiative #78 Mandatory Setback for Oil and Gas Development

Amendment? proposes amending the Colorado Constitution to:

 require that all new oil and gas facilities be located at least 2,500 feet from an occupied structure or specified waterways and public facilities.

Summary and Analysis

Amendment? requires that any new oil and gas development be located at least 2,500 feet from occupied structures and areas of special concern. The measure defines oil and gas development to include the exploration for and the drilling, producing, and processing of oil, gas, or related products, as well as the treatment and disposal of associated waste products. Occupied structures include homes, schools, hospitals, or any structure intended for human occupancy. Areas of special concern include public and community drinking water sources, lakes, rivers, perennial or intermittent streams, creeks, irrigation canals, riparian areas, playgrounds, permanent sports fields, amphitheaters, public parks, and public open space. Under Amendment?, reentry of a well that was previously plugged or abandoned is held to the same requirement as a new oil and gas development on undisturbed land. If towns and counties with overlapping boundaries establish different distance requirements, Amendment? requires the greater distance be used in overlapping areas.

Setback requirements for oil and gas wells. The required distance from an oil and gas facility and a home or other structure is commonly known as a setback requirement. Current state regulations prohibit oil and gas wells and production facilities from being located closer than:

- 500 feet from a home or other occupied building; and
- 1,000 feet from high occupancy buildings such as schools, health care institutions, correctional facilities, and child care centers, as well as neighborhoods with at least 22 buildings.

The surrounding area affected by the current 500-foot setback requirement includes about 18 acres. Currently, this setback requirement may be waived in certain instances by the surface or building owner. Amendment? increases the setback requirement to 2,500 feet, which includes about 450 acres. Amendment? does not allow a surface land owner or building owner to waive the setback requirement.

Mineral ownership in Colorado. In Colorado, surface land and the underlying mineral resources may be owned by different parties. When this occurs, surface owners are legally required to provide mineral owners with reasonable access to their resources.

Oil and gas extraction technologies and production increases. To improve production, oil and gas operators use hydraulic fracturing for most new wells and extend multiple wells in different directions from some well pads to minimize surface disturbances and reduce drilling costs. Hydraulic fracturing, or "fracking," involves injecting a mixture of water, chemicals, and sand into geologic formations containing oil or natural gas. This process fractures the formation and enhances the flow of oil or natural gas into the well. Although hydraulic fracturing has been used in Colorado since the 1940s, the technology has become more prevalent as an efficient means to access oil and gas in deep rock formations that were previously inaccessible. Wells are also drilled horizontally to improve their efficiency. Together, these technologies have led to substantial production increases in Colorado. For example, oil production more than doubled between 2008 and 2013 and doubled again between 2013 and 2015, with much of the new production occurring near populated areas in northeastern Colorado. Today, nearly 90 percent of oil production occurs in Weld County.

Oil and gas production in Colorado. Colorado is currently ranked seventh among the states in domestic oil production and sixth in natural gas production, and has over 53,000 active wells. Colorado's oil and gas industry generated about \$X billion in oil and gas production value in 20XX. According to the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics, the industry accounted for about xx,xxx direct jobs including drilling, extraction, and product transportation. This represents about x.x percent of total jobs in the state and about x.x percent of total wages and salaries.

For information on those issue committees that support or oppose the measures on the ballot at the November 8, 2016, election, go to the Colorado Secretary of State's elections center web site hyperlink for ballot and initiative information:

http://www.sos.state.co.us/pubs/elections/Initiatives/InitiativesHome.html

Arguments For

1) Oil and gas development can have significant impacts on nearby residents and businesses, including increased noise, traffic, light pollution, and odors. Additionally, this development can raise concerns and uncertainty for nearby residents around air and water quality and general health impacts. This measure keeps oil and gas impacts further away from homes, schools, businesses, and other buildings. This measure also expands protections for important water resources, including public drinking water sources, irrigation canals, rivers, streams and nearby areas, as well as recreational resources, including playgrounds and permanent sports fields, public parks, and public open spaces. Together, these restrictions will help protect Coloradans from the impact of oil and gas development.

2) Production facilities can be an eyesore, and drilling increases traffic and noise. Some individuals may be reluctant to purchase or rent a home or visit a business located near such facilities. Expanding the setback requirement for new oil and gas facilities provides home and business owners greater security in knowing that an oil and gas facility will not be constructed near them and may make it easier to sell a property located near oil and gas resources. Keeping oil and gas activity away from occupied structures will enable property owners to enjoy their property to its full potential.

Arguments Against

- 1) Amendment? effectively bans any new oil and gas activity in most areas of the state. The vast majority of Colorado's land is located within 2,500 feet of an occupied structure or area of special concern, and that land will be unavailable for new oil and gas development even if property owners agree to allow the development. The measure does not require that mineral owners be compensated if the setback requirement makes it more costly or impossible to develop their resources, which may lead to court challenges or require the state to compensate mineral owners. In the remaining areas where oil and gas activity is still possible, this measure will make it more difficult and expensive to access mineral resources. This measure will cause a decrease in oil and gas production, thereby diminishing the benefits the oil and gas industry provides, including jobs, royalty payments, and tax revenue.
- 2) The current setback requirement was developed through a collaborative rulemaking process that was guided by scientific and engineering expertise, and balanced the rights of mineral owners with the concerns of residents, schools, and businesses. The proposed setback imposes the same requirement for all new oil and gas development facilities, regardless of the size of the facility. Some oil and gas facilities are small and may not require such a large setback to protect surface and business owners. Further, the measure restricts new oil and gas facilities near existing residential and commercial development, but does not preclude new residential and commercial development from encroaching on undeveloped oil and gas resources. Consequently, if residential or commercial development further expands, the areas of the state that are available for oil and gas development will be further diminished.

Estimate of Fiscal Impact

(Please Note: A summary of the fiscal impact will be included in this space in the second draft of the analysis, and an official fiscal note will be prepared and placed on the web when the final blue book is sent to voters.)